DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



FCC-MAILROOM



March 24,2003

Marlene H. Cortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 –12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Irene M. Flannery
Vice President – High Cost and Low Income Division
Universal Service Administrative Company
2120 L Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20037

Re: CC Docket No. **96-45**Interstate Access Support - IAS
Annual Certification Filing

This is to certify that Corr Wireless Communications, LLC will use its Universal Service High Cost Model support only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.

I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of the company named above. This certification is for the study area 255181. The state is Alabama, and we are filing based on the wire centers for South Central Bell.

Sharry Piarca

Date: 3-24-03

Sherry Pierce Controller No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

Corr Wireless Communications, LLC P.OBox 1500
Oneonta, AL 35121
SPIN 143011253



High Cost Model Line Count Corr Wireless Communications, LLC

Date Prepared 3/24/03 Contact Name: Sherry Pierce

Contact Telephone Number: (205) 237-3526

Corr Wireless SPIN: 143011253

Lines Reported As Of: 9130102

Wire Center	Wire Center	Total # of	
CLLI Code	Name	Lines	
HNVLALBR	Hanceville-Bremen	347	
CLMNALJC	Cull-Jones Chpel	294	
CLMNALMA	Cull-Main	7805	
HNVLALNM	Hancevelle-Main	1561	
WRRRALNM	Warrior	309	
CLMNALFA	Cull-Fairview	1176	
PNSNALMA	Pinson	96	
DCTRALMT	Decatur	1526	
ATHNALMA	Athens-Main	662	
ATHNALER	Athens-Elk River	296	
HRTSALNM	Hartselle-Main	I 199	
MOLTALNM	Moulton	40	
GTVLALNM	Guntersville	624	
GRLYALMA	Gurley	63	
ALVLALMA	Albertville	125	
GDSDALRD	Gadsd-Rainbow Dr	277	
ATTLALNM	Attalla	397	
BOAZALMA	Boaz	127	
FTPYALMA	Fort Payne	9	
OHTCALMA	Ohatchee	7	
PDMTALMA	Piedmont	16	

Note We are reporting the number of Corr Wireless customers that belong to each South Central Bell Wire Center based on the customer zip code. South Central Bell Study Area number is 255181





March 24,2003

Marlene H. Cortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 –12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Irene M. Flannery
Vice President – High Cost and Low Income Division
Universal Service Administrative Company
2120 L Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20037

Re: CC Docket No. **96-45**Interstate Access Support - IAS
Annual Certification Filing

This is to certify that **Corr** Wireless Communications, LLC will use its Universal Service Interstate Access Support only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.

I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of the company named above. This certification is for the study area **255181**. The state is Alabama, and we are filing based on the UNE Zones for South Central Bell.

Date: 3-24-03

Sherry Pierce Controller Corr Wireless Communications, LLC P.O. Box 1500 Oneonta, AL 35121 SPIN 143011253



Huntsville: 4721 University Dr NW, 35806 tel256.316.2355 far 256.316.2678

Interstate Access Support Line Count Corr Wireless Communications, LLC

Date Prepared: 3/24/03 Contact Name: Sherry Pierce

Contact Telephone Number: (205) 237-3526

Corr Wireless SPIN: 143011253

Lines Reported As Of: 12/31/02

Zoi	ne 1	Zoi	ne 2	Zo	ne 3	Zone 4	
Res/Sing	Mult-Line	Res/Sing	Mult-Line	Res/Sing	Mult-Line	Res/Sing	Mult-Line
LineBus	Bus	LineBus	Bus	LineBus	Bus	LineBus	Bus
9138		7434		898			

Note: We are reporting the number of Corr Wireless customers that belong to each South Central Bell UNE Zone based on the customer zip code. South Central Bell Study Area number is 255181

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service) }	CC Docket No. 96-45
Corr Wireless Communications, LLC Petition for Designation as an))	
Eligible Telecommunications Camer)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Adopted: October 29,2002 Released: October 31,2002

By the Deputy Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this Order, we grant the petition of Corr Wireless Communications, LLC (Corr)' to be designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) throughout its licensed service area in the state of Alabama pursuant to section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act).' We conclude that Corr, a commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) carrier, has satisfied the statutory eligibility requirements of section 214(e)(1).³ Specifically, we conclude that Corr has demonstrated that it will offer and advertise the services supported by the federal universal service support mechanisms throughout the designated service area. Corr has provided an affirmative statement that the Alabama Public Service Commission (Alabama Commission) lacks the jurisdiction to perform the designation for Corr, and that the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) therefore has authority to perform the requested ETC designation under section 214(e)(6) of the Act.⁴

II. BACKGROUND

A. The Act

2. Section 254(e) of the Act provides that "only an eligible telecommunications carrier designated under section 214(e) shall be eligible to receive specific Federal universal

47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(1).

¹ Corr Wireless Communication LLC Petition for Designation as an Eligible Carrier, filed April 29, 2002, Revised Exhibit I, fil) t 9, 2002 (Corr Petition).

² 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6).

^{4 47} U.S.C. § 214(e)(6).

service **support**."⁵ Pursuant to section 214(e)(1), a common camer designated as an ETC must offer and advertise the services supported by the federal universal service mechanisms throughout the designated service area.⁶

- 3. Section 214(e)(2) of the Act gives state commissions the primary responsibility for performing ETC designations? Section 214(e)(6), however, directs the Commission, upon request, to designate as an ETC "a common carrier providing telephone exchange service and exchange access that is not subject to the jurisdiction of a State commission." Under section 214(e)(6), upon request and consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, the Commission may, with respect to an area served by a rural telephone company, and shall, in all other cases, designate more than one common carrier as an ETC for a designated service area, so long as the requesting carrier meets the requirements of section 214(e)(1).9
 - **B.** Commission Requirements for ETC Designation
- **4.** Filing Requirements for ETC Designation. On December 29, 1997, the Commission released a Public Notice establishing the requisite procedures for carriers seeking Commission designation as an ETC under section 214(e)(6). In the Section 214(e)(6) Public Notice, the Commission delegated authority to the Chief of the Wireline Competition Bureau to designate carriers as ETCs pursuant to section 214(e)(6). The Section 214(e)(6) Public Notice required petitioners to: (1) submit a certification and brief statement of supporting facts demonstrating that the petitioner is "not subject to the jurisdiction of a state commission;" (2) submit a certification that the petitioner offers all services designated for support by the Commission pursuant to section 254(e); (3) provide a certification that the petitioner offers the supported services "either using its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier's services;" (4) offer a description of how the petitioner "advertise[s] the availability of [supported] services and the charges therefor using media of general distribution;" and (5) if the petitioner is not a rural telephone company, it must include a detailed description of the geographic service areas for which it requests an ETC designation from the Commission."

⁵ 47 U.S.C.§ 254(e).

^{6 47} U.S.C. § 214(e)(1).

⁷ 47 U.S.C.§ 214(e)(2). See also Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; Promoting Deployment and Subscribership in Unserved and Underserved Areas, Including Tribal and Insular Areas, CC Docket No. 96-45, Twelfth Report and Order, Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 15 FCC Rcd 12208,12255, para. 93 (2000) (Twelfth Report and Order).

⁸ 47 U.S.C.§ 2\(^14\)(e)(6). See, e.g., Western Wireless Corporation Petitionfor Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Cawierfor the Pine Ridge Reservation in South Dakota, CC Docket No. 96-45, Memorandum Opinion and Order, \(^16\) FCC Rcd 18133 (2001) (Western Wireless Pine Ridge Order); Pine Belt Cellular, Inc. and Pine Belt PCS, Inc. Petitionfor Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier, CC Docket No. 96-45, Memorandum Opinion and Order, \(^17\) FCC Rcd 9589 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2002).

^{9 47} U.S.C.§ 214(e)(6).

¹⁰ Procedures for FCC Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers Pursuant 10 Section 214(e)(6) ⊈ the Communications Act, Public Notice, 12 FCC Rcd 22947 (1997) (Section 214(e)(6) Public Notice).

⁴¹ Id. at 22948. The Wireline Competition Bureau was previously known as the Common Carrier Bureau.

¹² Id. at 22948-49.

- Twelfth Report and Order. On June 30,2000, the Commission released the Twelfth Report and Order which, among other things, set forth how a carrier seeking ETC designation from the Commission must demonstrate that the state commission lacks jurisdiction to perform the ETC designation. Carriers seeking designation as an ETC for service provided on non-tribal lands must provide the Commission with an "affirmative statement" from the state commission or a court of competent jurisdiction that the carrier is not subject to the state commission's jurisdiction. The Commission defined an "affirmative statement" as "any duly authorized letter, comment, or state commission order indicating that [the state commission] lacksjurisdiction to perform the designation over a particular carrier. The requirement to provide an "affirmative statement" ensures that the state commission has had "a specific opportunity to address and resolve issues involving a state commission's authority under state law to regulate certain carriers or classes of carriers."
- 6. Corr Petition. On April 29,2002, Corr filed with this Commission a petition pursuant to section 214(e)(6) seeking designation as an ETC throughout its licensed service area in the state of Alabama.' Corr contends that the Alabama Commission has issued an "affirmative statement" that the Alabama Commission does not have jurisdiction to designate a CMRS carrier as an ETC. Accordingly, Corr asks the Commission to exercise jurisdiction and designate Corr as an ETC pursuant to section 214(e)(6). Corr also maintains that it satisfies the statutory and regulatory prerequisites for ETC designation, and that designating Corr as an ETC will serve the public interest.

111. DISCUSSION

7. We find, as discussed in more detail below, that Corr has met all the requirements set forth in sections 214(e)(1) and (e)(6) to be designated as an ETC by this Commission for the provision of service in Alabama. We conclude that Corr has demonstrated that the Alabama Commission lacks the jurisdiction to perform the designation, and that the Commission therefore may consider Corr's petition under section 214(e)(6). We also conclude that Corr has demonstrated that it now offers and advertises, or will offer and advertise, the services supported by the federal universal service support mechanisms throughout the designated service areas upon designation as an ETC. Furthermore, we find that the designation of Corr as an ETC serves the public interest because it will benefit consumers in Alabama by promoting competition, new

¹³ See Twelfth Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 12255-65, paras, 93-114.

¹⁴ Twelfth Report and Order 15 FCC Rcd at 12255, para. 93.

¹⁵ Twelfth Report and Order, 15 FCC Rcd at 12264, para. 113

¹⁶ *Id.* (citations omitted)

¹⁷ See generally Corr Petition. On May 15.2002, the Wireline Competition Bureau released a Public Notice seeking comment on the Corr Petition. See Wireline Competition Bureau Seeks Comment on Corr Wireless Communications, LLC Petitionfor Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in Certain Non-Rural Service Areas in the State of Alabama, CC Docket No. 96-45, Public Notice, 17 FCC Rcd 8775 (2002). Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association (CTIA) submitted comments in response to the Public Notice. See Comments of The Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association, CC Docket No. 96-45 (filed May 24,2002).

¹⁸ See Corr Petition at 3.

¹⁹ See Corr Petition at 3-4, 7, Exhibit I

technologies, and universal service to those consumers. Pursuant to our authority under section 214(e)(6), we therefore designate Corr as an ETC in Alabama.

- A. Commission Authority **to** Perform the **ETC** Designation
- 8. We find that Corr has demonstrated that the Alabama Commission lacks the jurisdiction to perform the requested ETC designation and that the Commission therefore has authority to consider Corr's petition under section 214(e)(6) of the Act. Corr submitted as an "affirmative statement" an order issued by the Alabama Commission addressing a petition filed by several CMRS carriers seeking ETC designation, or, in the alternative, clarification regarding the jurisdiction of the Alabama Commission to grant ETC status to wireless carriers." In the Alabama Commission Order, the Alabama Commission concluded that it "has no authority to regulate, in any respect, cellular services, broadband personal communications services, and commercial mobile radio services in Alabama." The Alabama Commission advised the petitioners and "all other wireless providers seeking ETC status [to] pursue their ETC designation request with the FCC as provided by 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6)." The Alabama Commission's decision in the Alabama Commission Order is consistent with the Code of Alabama and a March 2000 declaratory ruling issued by the Alabama Commission. ²³
 - **B.** Offering and Advertising the Supported Services
- 9. Offering the Services Designated for Support. We find that Corr has demonstrated that it now offers, or will offer, the services supported by the federal universal service support mechanisms upon designation as an ETC. Corr is a CMRS camer serving, in accordance with licenses granted by the Commission, wireless service temtories that include Alabama 1 Franklin, for cellular services, and BTA108 (Decatur), BTA158 (Gadsen), BTA198 (Huntsville), and BTA017 (Anniston), for personal communications services. Corr certifies that it currently provides all of the services and functionalities pursuant to section 254(c) of the Act throughout its service areas in Alabama. Corr states that it has the capability to offer, in accordance with section 54.101(a) of the rules, voice-grade access to the public switched network, local usage, the functional equivalent of DTMF signaling, single-party service, access to emergency service, access to operator services, access to interexchange service, access to

²⁰ See Corr Petition, Exhibit 2 (Alabama Public Service Commission, *Pine Belt Cellular, Inc. and Pine Belt PCS, Inc.*, Order, Docket No. U-4400 at 1-3 (March 12,2000) (Alabama Commission Order).

²¹ Alabama Commission Order at 2 (emphasis in original).

²² Id.

²³ Id. See generally Alabama Public Service Commission, Bell South Mobility, Inc. Petition for Declaratory Ruling, Order, Docket No. 26414 (March 2, 2000) (Alabama Declaratory Ruling). The Alabama Code definition of "cellular telecommunications services" includes all cellular services, broadband personal communications services and CMRS. Id at 2. See also Ala. Code § 40-21-120(1)a (2002). The Alabama Code definition of "cellular telecommunications provider" includes all licensees of the Federal Communications Commission to provide cellular telecommunication services, broadband personal communications services, CMRS, and all resellers of such services. See Alabama Declaratory Ruling at 2. See also Ala. Code § 40-21-120(1)b (2002).

See Corr Petition at 2.

See id. at 4-6, Exhibit I

directory assistance, and toll limitation for qualifying low-income **consumers**. Corr indicates that, upon designation as an ETC, it will make available a universal service offering that includes all of the supported **services**. Corr states that it commission lies with applicable law and Commission directives on providing access to emergency services! In addition, **although** the Commission has not set a minimum local usage requirement, Corr certifies that it currently offers several service options including varying amounts of local usage, and intends to offer its universal service customers a rate plan that includes unlimited local **usage**. Finally, Corr commits to provide service to **any** requesting customer within the designated service **area**. We therefore conclude that Corr complies with the requirement of section 214(e)(1)(A) to offer the services that are supported by the federal universal service support mechanisms under section 254(c).

- 10. Offering the Supported Services Using a Carrier's Own Facilities. We conclude that Corr has demonstrated that it satisfies the requirement of section 214(e)(1)(A) to offer the supported services using either its own facilities or a combination of its own facilities and resale of another carrier's services. Corr states that it provides the supported services "using [its] existing network infrastructure, consisting of switching, trunking, cell sites, and network equipment, together with any expansions and enhancements to that network." We find this certification sufficient to satisfy the requirements of section 214(e)(1)(A).
- 11. Advertising the Suuuorted Services. We conclude that Corr has demonstrated that it satisfies the requirement of section 214(e)(1)(B) to advertise the availability of the supported services and the charges therefor, using media of general distribution. Corr certifies that it will advertise the availability of its universal service offerings, and the charges therefor, using media of general distribution. Corr currently advertises its wireless services through various media, including television, radio, newspaper, and billboard advertising. Corr states that it will expand upon these media, as necessary, to ensure that customers within its designated service area are fully informed of its universal service offerings. We find this certification sufficient to satisfy

²⁶ See Corr Petition at 4-6.

^{&#}x27;'Id.at 4.

²⁸ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.101(a)(5) (stating that access to emergency services includes access to 911 and enhanced 911 to the extent the local government in an eligible carrier's service area has implemented 911 or enhanced 911 systems). Corr currently provides access to emergency services throughout its cellular service area by dialing 91 I. Sea Corr Petition at 5. Corr indicates that it has completed Phase I E911 implementation in all jurisdictions that have requested it (three of the seven counties it serves). See *id*. Corr currently has a Phase II waiver request pending with the Commission. See *id*.

²⁹ See Corr Petition at 4.

³⁰ See id. Exhibit 1.

^{31 47} U.S.C. §214(e)(1)(A).

³² See Corr Petition at 6.

³³ Corr Petition at 6, Exhibit 1.

[&]quot;See47 U.S.C.§ 214(e)(1)(B).

³⁵ See Corr Petition at 7.

³⁶ See id.

³⁷ See id.

the requirements of section 214(e)(1)(B). Moreover, because ETCs receive universal service support only to the extent that they serve customers, we believe that strong economic incentives exist, in addition to the statutory obligation, to advertise the universal service offerings in Alahama. 38

C. Public Interest Analysis

12. We conclude that it is "consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity" to designate Corr as an ETC in the requested non-rural service area that is served by BellSouth. As the Commission has previously stated, for those areas served by non-rural telephone companies, the designation of an additional ETC based upon a demonstration that the requesting carrier complies with the statutory eligibility obligations of section 214(e)(1) is consistent per se with the public interest. An important goal of the Act is to open local telecommunications markets to competition, and Congress recognized that the promotion of competition is consistent with the public interest in those areas served by non-rural telephone companies! Additionally, we note that no parties filed oppositions to the Corr Petition. We conclude that Corr has demonstrated that its designation as an ETC will fulfill the underlying federal policies favoring competition.

D. Designated Service Area

13. Consistent with Con's request, we designate **Corr** as an ETC for the requested service area in **Alabama**. This service area encompasses the current service area of BellSouth as indicated in Appendix A.

IV. ANTI-DRUG ABUSE ACT CERTIFICATION

14. Pursuant to section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, no applicant is eligible for any new, modified, or renewed instrument of authorization from the Commission, including authorizations issued pursuant to section 214 of the Act, unless the applicant certifies that neither it, nor any arty to its application, is subject to a denial of federal benefits, including Commission benefits?" This certification must also include the names of individuals specified by section 1.2002(b) of the Commission's rules. 44 Corr has provided a certification consistent

⁴⁰ See, e.g., Celico Partnership d/b/a Bell Atlantic Mobile Petitionfor Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier, CC Docket No. 96-45, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 15 FCC Red 39 (Com. Car. Bur. 2000).

³⁸ See **#** (asserting that Corr will have economic incentives to advertise).

³⁹ 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6).

⁴¹ See47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6).

⁴² See Corr Petition at 1-2, Exhibit 3. Under section 214(e)(5) of the Act, "service area" connotes a geographic area established by a state commission, or the Commission under section 214(e)(6), for the purpose of determining universal service obligations and support mechanisms. 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(5).

[&]quot;See47 U.S.C. § 1.2002(a); 21 U.S.C. § 862.

⁴⁴ Section 1.2002(b) provides that a certification pursuant to that section shall include: "(1) If the applicant is an individual, that individual; (2) If the applicant is a corporation or unincorporated association, all **officers**, directors, (continued...)

with the requirements of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988. We find that Corr has satisfied the requirements of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, as codified in sections 1.2001-1.2003 of the Commission's rules.

v. ORDERING CLAUSES

- 15. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the authority contained in section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. § 214(e)(6), and the authority delegated in sections 0.91 and 0.291 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.91, 0.291, Corr Wireless Communications, LLC IS DESIGNATED AN ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIER for the designated service area in Alabama, as discussed herein.
- 16. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Memorandum Opinion and Order SHALL BE transmitted by the Wireline Competition Bureau to the **Universal** Service Administrative Company.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Carol. E. Mattey Deputy Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau

!

_

^{(,..}continued from previous page)

or persons holding 5% or more of the outstanding stock or shares (voting/and or non-voting) of the petitioner; and (3) If the applicant is a partnership, all non-limited partners and any limited partners holding a 5% or more interest in the partnership." 47 C.F.R. § 1.2002(b).

⁴⁵ See Corr Petition, Exhibit 1